INTRODUCTION

For ten months the world has witnessed a global pandemic - COVID-19, which disturbed many lives either directly and/or indirectly. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is an acute respiratory illness caused by the newly identified β-coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 virus [1]. At nearly 41.9 million cases worldwide, the pandemic is a global public health emergency [2]. As there is no vaccine or treatment, ‘social distancing’ or reducing interpersonal and community interaction, may be the only way to minimize disease transmission.

COVID-19 has proven challenging to the public health system, and considering the hearing healthcare, an internet-based survey was conducted on how COVID-19 affected the services to Cochlear Implant users and which consecutively affected their communication needs.

Purpose: For ten months the world has witnessed a global pandemic “COVID-19”, which disturbed many lives either directly and/or indirectly. COVID-19 has proven challenging to the public health system and considering the hearing healthcare, an internet-based survey was conducted on how COVID-19 affected the services to Cochlear Implant users and which consecutively affected their communication needs.

Methods: The study was carried out during the period of June-August 2020. The questionnaire consisted of 38 questions, developed and presented via “Google forms”. The questions were based on the common problems faced by the Cochlear Implant users. The nature of the questions was generally focused on how COVID-19 affected their child’s communication due to limited services. The questionnaire was self-administered and the time taken would be 10–15 minutes. The parents of the Cochlear Implanted children were asked to answer the survey with the best of their experience.

Results: The overall survey results showed that majority of the respondents observed a variety of problems related to the usage, management and maintenance of Cochlear Implant during this COVID-19 pandemic condition.

Conclusions: This report suggests that hearing health care requires a radical rethinking of service delivery and create the momentum to rapidly formulate innovative digital and tele-health approaches in response to these changing situations.
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In this scenario, hearing health care requires a radical service delivery.

Hearing healthcare providers must consider treatment delays with Corona-virus, given critical periods for speech-language development and the long-term impact of auditory deprivation, some audiological services should be considered essential. Fortunately, there are few “audiology emergencies,” where a patient reaches out to audiologist immediately for assistance; such as, Sudden onset hearing loss, Foreign objects in the ear, Sudden onset of vertigo, and sudden device failure (cochlear implant/hearing aid) [4].

Cochlear Implant (CI) is a medical technology that has been regarded as most efficient treatment for patients with severe to profound sensori-neural hearing loss. Approximately 400000 people worldwide receive CI; more than half of them are children. Paediatric cochlear implantation has resulted in greatly improved auditory performance and helped these young children to develop speech and language in a rapid pace. Various factors may affect the performance, in which, constant auditory stimulation, auditory verbal therapy (AVT) and proper care-maintenance are essential post implant.

There is a strong relationship between the outcomes of children with cochlear implants and the Auditory-Verbal Therapy. Dettman, Wall, Constantinescu and Dowell (2013) found better listening and spoken language outcomes for children who had undergone continuous AVT [5]. Until the present moment scientific literature concerning the common technical failures on CI external components and the frequency that occur are scarce. However, is very common in follow-ups, patients or their families report failures in these devices. Silverman, Schoepflin, Linstrom and Gilton (2010) reported that CI external component breakdowns are common such as; speech processor (no or intermittent or weak output, fast battery drain), cables (body worn) and microphone which needed more repair [6].

Although the pandemic had an impact on everyone, children with hearing loss create considerable challenges to their parents, particularly when there is limited access to their hearing care providers. Considering the hearing healthcare essential services, an internet-based survey was conducted on how COVID-19 had affected the services to cochlear implant users which consecutively their communication needs.

METHODS

The study was carried out during the period of June-August 2020 in the Department of Audiology, MAA Institute of Speech and Hearing, Hyderabad. A survey of 38 questions (Supplementary file) was developed and revised through several drafts with inputs from the other hearing professionals. After several revisions, a final draft was prepared for web presentation via Google forms. The draft was initially piloted on 10 individuals (5 audiologists and 5 parents of CI children). The individual observation of these respondents and their comments about the questions were used to revise the survey questions and response-choices. After the web presentation, an e-mail announcement with the link of the survey was sent to all the parents of the CI children in our database. The chronological age of the children ranged from 16 months to 19 years.

Questions were based on the common problems faced by the cochlear implant users. Nature of the questions was generally focused on how COVID-19 affected their child’s communication due to limited services. The questionnaire was self-administered and the time taken would be 10-15 minutes. The initial content of the questionnaire included age, post-implant experience/age and whether unilateral or bilateral implantation, if not bilateral, uses a hearing aid in the opposite ear. Other questions discussed were; one-to-one therapy, personal protective equipments (PPE), tele-rehabilitation, AVT, home therapy, mapping, maintenance, accessories and overall communication needs. Finally, the respondents were asked for suggestions on how they would get better treatment during COVID-19 lockdown times. Respondents were asked to answer all the questions to the best of their experience. The questions were Yes/No and multiple-choice questions where choice was also permitted to report one or more problems.

RESULTS

A total of hundred and one respondents (parents of CI children) participated in the survey. Survey results can be seen in Supplementary file. The chronological age of the CI children was ranged from 16 months to 19 years (Fig. 1; Table 1) and post implant age ranged from 0.2 to 15 years. In total children, 92% children were fitted with unilateral and 8% with bilateral implants (Table 2). Among 92% of unilateral fitting, 11% were using hearing aid in the opposite ear (Bimodal fitting) and others (89%) were not aided with any device opposite side (Fig. 2; Table 2).

Sixty-eight% children have completed the mandatory AVT sessions for a year and 32% were yet to complete. In those 68%
of children who completed 1-year therapy, majority of respondents (74%) reported to be performing listening activities at home (Table 3). Among 32% who have not completed 1 year of compulsorily AVT, 17% are attending AVT and others are not attending due to limited services during COVID19 (Table 3). Those who were attending therapy, 51% were taking one-to-one therapy, 28% tele-therapy, 7% home-based program and other 14% reported to be counselled for home activities (Fig. 3, Table 4). The respondents of “the children who are under one-year compulsory therapy, but couldn’t attend therapy sessions” reported that, 96% were performing activities at home, 80% felt child is progressing and 73% felt a kind of regression in skills observed and these respondents also mentioned tele-therapy services might have helped (Fig. 4).

Eleven respondents reported about the devices used for tele-therapy, in which, 8 parents used mobile phones, 2 laptop and 1 Smart TV. Further, 7 out of 11 respondents reported that their children were attentive during the tele-therapy session. The respondents also experienced few benefits of tele-therapy such as; save time, increased parent involvement, avoid travel and remote access to therapist. At the same time,
few limitations were also observed by parents such as; sound quality, poor visibility and connectivity issues. Overall, 60% of the respondents indicated tele-therapy was as effective as one-to-one therapy mode. It was also observed that, 33% respondents would prefer tele-therapy over one-to-one sessions even in normal (non-pandemic) days and 41% do not prefer and others might.

Fifty-eight percent respondents reported that they didn’t face any problems with their CI device, whereas others reported some. Device accessories issues were reported by 45.4% of the respondents (Fig. 5; Table 5). Majority of the respondents (61.8%) reported mapping issues (Fig. 5; Table 5). During one-to-one therapy, 47% children were using PPE like masks, gloves and face shields, whereas 53% were not using any protection. Out of those who were using PPEs, 60% reported to be uncomfortable wearing mask and gloves whereas 40% were comfortable. Even though, uncomfortable, 46% haven’t removed PPEs during therapy and didn’t stop therapy session too. The respondents even reported that, majority of the therapists (70%) also equipped with masks, gloves and face shields. Fifty percent felt that these PPEs did not affect the performance of the child. Sixty percent of the respondents didn’t find any change in their child’s participation in therapy even with PPEs and they even not requested therapist to remove PPEs. Overall, 53% of the respondents observed ‘Increased’ communication abilities and 47% reported otherwise during COVID-19 (Fig. 6).

**DISCUSSION**

Although COVID-19 has adverse effect on human health, here we discuss the present pandemic condition’s effect on hearing impaired, particularly focused on Cochlear Implant users. This Web based survey publicized that COVID-19 had an effect on the services to CI users and their communication needs. The focal point observed through the survey was that, the respondents (parents of the CI children) reported COVID-19 significantly affected their children in a variety of
efficiently in a small number of subjects [13-15]. No serious
implants and hearing aids could be performed effectively and
rationally. These studies reported that programming of cochlear
habilitative hearing healthcare in a variety of settings interna-
tively. These tasks are generally performed in a clinical
point-up, where remote access to programming devices is not
fully accessed yet.

However, telemedicine is an alternative that provides
healthcare using telecommunication. Telemedicine is the
transmission of health-related services and information by
means of telecommunication technology and has been rec-
ognized as a valid and useful tool to deliver care for those who
couldn’t access direct services [11]. The use of telemedicine in
hearing healthcare is relatively new and the applications in-
clude patient education and diagnostic services [12]. There
were multiple studies that have investigated the delivery of re-
habilitative hearing healthcare in a variety of settings interna-
tionally. These studies reported that programming of cochlear
implants and hearing aids could be performed effectively and
efficiently in a small number of subjects [13-15]. No serious
adverse events were encountered; however, degradation of
audio and/or video quality in the communication link hin-
dered interactions between providers and patients [16-19].
Another important point to consider is that the face coverings
are an obstacle to effective oral communication. Face masks
act as a low-pass acoustic filter which interferes with speech.
It was researched that, Masks had nearly no effect below 1
kHz, but the sound pressure levels for frequencies 2,000
to 7,000 Hz decreased up to 12 dB for a variety of masks [20].
The combined effect of decreased intensity, speech perceived
as more muffled, will certainly cause problems for many peo-
ple with hearing loss. A recent survey by [21], reported the im-
pact of COVID-19 on access to the hearing health services for
cochlear implanted children. The COVID-19 pandemic has
been reported to have a considerable impact on access to the
hearing health services availed by CI children. Parents and CI
children are distressed due to the lack of access to services
and consequent breakdown in communication.

The COVID-19 crisis has directed in a new era in hearing
health care that requires a radical rethinking of service deliv-
ery in audiology. As the hearing health care community con-
tinues to compete with COVID-19, this crisis has prompted
hearing professionals to think about how telemedicine (reha-
bilitation) can be best utilized in the future. This technology
should help hearing professionals to become more integrated
into the hearing health care delivery system. It has also cre-
ated the momentum to rapidly formulate innovative digital
(web and App-based hearing solutions) and tele-health ap-
proaches (including tools for remote device troubleshooting,
counselling, fine-tuning, and tracking usage) in response to
these changing situations.

**CONCLUSION**

COVID-19 is negatively affecting the lives of many people with
hearing loss in a variety of ways. It is important that they are
aware of these consequences, and have enough knowledge to
do what they can to stay safe while fully communicating with
the world. Although this data suggested on the problems that
CI users are experiencing during these difficult times, solu-
tions and their application in hearing health care routine are
still limited and have to be tested yet. Research should be un-
dertaken attempting to provide effective solutions to the CI
users in different challenging situations. Also needed is the
development of global strategies to face any such difficult
condition in future. Respondents in this study were limited to
our own database and as such the sample size was smaller.
Similar studies with larger sample size are advised for better generalization of the results. Another limitation of this was that respondent were largely Indian citizen and hence worldwide study on the same issue should be implemented. Thorough research on understanding the limitations and strength of telerehabilitation is also advised, investigating this issue in details was out of the scope of this study.
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